



VIDEO INTERACTION TRAINING

VASLUI, 22 – 24 APRIL 2008

The contents of this report are a result of the questionnaire that I am attaching. The questionnaire was completed by 16 participants.

1. General Information

Date: 22-24 APRIL 2008 Course duration: 3 days.

2. Please circle the degree of agreement or disagreement regarding the following statements (circle the number that best reflects your opinion) :

Totally agree	Somewhat agree	Agree	Somewhat disagree	Disagree
5	4	3	2	1

Maximum punctaj = 80

1. The subject of the course was well taught.	77
2. The content of the course fulfilled my expectations	76
3. The materials were useful	78
4. The participants were encouraged to be active.	78
5. The VIT matched my objectives.	71
6. The VIT was relevant to my work.	71
7. I would recommend this course to my colleagues.	79
8. The VIT method is necessary in Romania.	77
9. I can immediately use the knowledge I have gained during this course during my everyday work.	62

3. The duration of the course was :

Maximum 16 points

Just right - 13 points

Too short – 1 points

Too long – 2 points

4. The number of participants was :

Maximum 16 points

Just right - 13 points

Too little – 3 points

Too many –points

5. Which are the three most important things you have learned during the course?

- Improvement of knowledge
- Focusing on positive communication
- New possibilities for self-knowledge and activation
- To be more receptive
- To let the other more time for thinking
- To discover good points of a relation
- To see good things before bad things
- The importance of a touching and eye contact
- Improvement of a interpersonal relationships
- Efficient communication
- Personal development
- To be more attentive to the others needs
- To talk less and listen much
- Steps for a good communication
- Initiative and reception; activating – compensating
- New method in working with the clients
- To recognize your weak points
- How important is face to face interaction for development
- Video camera is an useful instrument for measuring in time the counseling progress
- The importance of the relationship with the client

6. What do you think about the contents of this course overall?

Maximum 16 points

Very good	Good	Medium	Low	Very Low
12 points	3 points	1points	0 points	0 points

7. What do you think about the organization of this course overall?

Very good	Good	Medium	Weak	Very weak
9 points	7 points	0points	0 points	0 points

8. What do you think about the professionals who participated?

Very good	Good	Medium	Weak	Very weak
14 points	2 points	0 points	0 points	0 points

9. Do you have any tips for the next course?

Tips for organization:

- Few days extra with the same number of hours
- More interactive
- More practical home works
- Can participate 25 participants
- A better administration of time
- Adequate conditions in the course hall (temperature, light)
- Specialized courses only for schools, hospitals, social workers

Tips for content:

- More systematic
- More materials in Romanian language
- More video materials from participants for microanalyses

Tips for participants (who should participate and what should be the attitude of the professionals)

- To be more involved, active and cooperative in course activities
- To expose their experiences
- To have a medium level of English
- Multidisciplinary teams
- To be more receptive and attentive at other opinions

Tips for trainers:

- Many role plays
- Slow rhythm of presentation
- To use more materials from participants
- New courses and meetings
- More interaction between members of the group
- To harmonize the rhythm of presentation

Participants that are interested to continue working with VIT:

Name	Institution
Clapa Alina	D.G.S.A.P.C
Blăniță Marcela	D.G.S.A.P.C
Cojocea Mirela	D.G.S.A.P.C
Coman Marian	D.G.S.A.P.C
Lefter Elena	C.J.R.A.E
Alexa Gina	C.J.R.A.E
Draghici Adriana	C.J.R.A.E
Sandulescu Iuliana	C.J.R.A.E
Nacu Octavia	C.J.R.A.E

In our opinion, the participants were very motivated and interested in assimilation of VIT as a new method in their work activities. They were involved in the course activities and some of them brought their own materials for presentation and analyze. From daily evaluation resulted that the atmosphere was good and liked the activities of the course. The general structure of the course was similar with the previous IC, but open at their opinions, proposal (they had the liberty to choose between some activities or tapes). We consider that the course was relevant for professional categories that participate at the course.

Co-trainers:
Cristina Tun
Elena Barbieru